Template for DoF DATA PROTECTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCREENING EXERCISE

Please refer to <u>DPIA guidance</u> before completing this exercise

Project Name:

Consultation on Scheme Yield / Member Contributions and associated Amendment Regulations that amend the provisions of The Public Service (Civil Servants and Others) Pensions Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2014.

Business Area: DoF, NICS HR, Pensions Division Branch: Civil Service Pensions Policy, Legislation and Communications

1. PROJECT SUMMARY

Briefly describe your project, plan or proposal. Set out its purpose and any projected benefits.

Plans to adjust the rates of member pension contributions through a set of Amendment Regulations to amend the provisions of The Public Service (Civil Servants and Others) Pensions Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2014 and establish the rates of employee contributions for the alpha pension scheme to take effect from 1 July 2025. Adjustments to the employee contribution rates are required in order to achieve the required scheme yield and address the current shortfall.

2. STAKEHOLDERS

Identify your data subjects and also the main stakeholders or bodies involved and their role in the project.

Trade Unions, Political Reps, Equality Consultees, employers and scheme members

3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL DATA INVOLVED

The making of these amendment regulations and associated consultation exercise do not involve accessing, processing or sharing any personal member data.

Generic anonymised data and statistics were analysed by Government Actuary's Department and the Department of Finance and were sourced from scheme data and other information in the public domain such as NISRA published data and NICS Salary Scales etc.

4. PRIVACY ASSESSMENT				
Use this checklist to assess the project for privacy risks. The questions below will help you consider whether a DPIA is necessary.				
(i) Does the project/activity involve any of the following high risk processing?	Yes	No	If yes, explain your response	
Systematic or extensive profiling, evaluation or scoring		\boxtimes		
Large scale processing of sensitive data		\boxtimes		
Systematic monitoring of individuals		\boxtimes		
Use of new technology or novel use of existing technology (e.g. AI)				
Denial of individuals' access to a service		\boxtimes		
Profiling of individuals on a large scale		\boxtimes		
Processing of biometric data		\boxtimes		
Processing of genetic data		\boxtimes		
Processing of sensitive data or data of a highly personal nature		\boxtimes		
Combining, comparing or matching data obtained from multiple sources				
Invisible processing		\boxtimes		
Tracking geolocation or behaviour		\boxtimes		
Targeting of children or other vulnerable individuals		\boxtimes		
Risk of physical harm		\boxtimes		
If you answer yes to any of the questions in section 4(i) above, it is likely that a DPIA will be automatically required. Consult to DoF Data Protection Officer for further advice.				
(ii) Does the project involve any of the following?	Yes	No	If yes, explain your response	

Automated decision-making with a legal or similar		\boxtimes	
significant effect.			
Processing of data on a large		\boxtimes	
scale.			
A change to an existing policy,		\boxtimes	
process or system that			
involves personal data (e.g.			
new legislation or policy that			
makes it compulsory to collect			
or disclose information).			
A change in location of a		\boxtimes	
business area or branch (e.g.			
plans to centralise a service or an office move).			
A practice or activity that is			
listed on a risk register (e.g.		\boxtimes	
activities listed on your			
business area's risk register			
or health and safety register).			
Collecting new information		\boxtimes	
about an individual (e.g.		2-3	
gathering information about			
individuals' location).			
A new way of gathering		\boxtimes	
personal information (e.g.			
collecting information online			
rather than on paper forms).			
A change in the way personal		\boxtimes	
information is stored or			
secured (e.g. cloud storage).			
A change to how sensitive		\boxtimes	
personal information is managed (e.g. moving health			
records to a new database).			
Transferring personal		\boxtimes	
information offshore (e.g.			
using a cloud based			
application to store data).			
A decision to retain personal		\boxtimes	
information for longer than			
previously kept (e.g. keeping			
information for 10 years when			
you previously only held it for			
7).			
Using information classed as		\boxtimes	
'special category data' (e.g. information about an			
individual's health).			
Using personal data already		\boxtimes	
held for a new purpose (e.g.			
to obtain customer profiles).			
	1		

D: 1 :	Γ		
Disclosing information to a		\boxtimes	
third party (e.g. following a			
request from a law			
enforcement agency to			
provide information for a			
particular purpose).			
Sharing or matching personal		\boxtimes	
information held by different			
organisations or in different			
datasets (e.g. combining data			
with other information held on			
systems or sharing			
information to enable			
organisations to provide			
services jointly).			
A change in policy that results		\boxtimes	
in people having less access			
to information that you hold			
about them (for example,			
archiving documents after 6			
months into a facility from			
which they cannot be easily retrieved).			
,			
Establishing a new way of		\boxtimes	
identifying individuals (for			
example, a unique identifier, a			
biometric, or online identity			
system).			
Introducing a new system for		\boxtimes	
searching individuals'			
property, persons or premises			
(e.g. adopting a new policy of			
searching data on mobile			
phones that have been			
returned for upgrading).			
Surveillance, tracking or		\boxtimes	
monitoring of movements,			
behaviour or communications			
(e.g. installing a new CCTV			
system or monitoring a			
member of staff's email			
account).			
Changes to premises		\boxtimes	
impacting on private spaces			
where clients/staff may			
discuss personal data (e.g.			
changing the location of a			
reception desk where people			
may disclose personal details			
or relocating a branch where			
sensitive personal data is			
processed).			

New regulatory requirements	\boxtimes	
that could lead to compliance		
action against individuals on		
the basis of information about		
them (e.g. adding a new		
medical condition to the		
requirements of a licence.		
Other privacy intrusions such	\boxtimes	
as body searches, or intrusion		
into physical space.		
Additional Comments/Notes		

5. INITIAL RISK ASSESSMENT

If you answered 'Yes' to any of the questions in section 4, use the table below to give a rating - either Low (L), Medium (M), or High (H) – to each of the aspects of the project set out in the first column. If you answered 'No' to all the questions in section 4, move on to section 6.

Aspect of the Project	Rating (L, M or H)	
Level of personal data handling	L – Minimal personal information will be handled	
	M – A moderate amount of personal information (or information that could become personal information) will be handled	
	H – A significant amount of personal information (or information that could become personal information) will be handled	
Sensitivity of	L – The information is not sensitive	
information	M – The information may be considered to be, or may become, sensitive	
	H – The information is highly sensitive	
Significance of the	L – Only minor change to existing functions/activities	
changes	M – Substantial change to existing functions/activities; or a new initiative	
	H – Major overhaul of existing functions/activities; or a new initiative that's significantly different	
Interaction with third parties	L – No interaction with other agencies	
	M – Interaction with one or two other agencies	
	H – Extensive cross-agency (government) interaction or cross-sectional (non-government and government) interaction	
Public impact	L – Minimal impact on the organisation and individuals	
	M – Some impact on individuals is likely due to changes to the handling of personal information; or the changes may raise public concern	
	H – High impact on individuals and the wider public; concerns over aspects of project or negative media interest is likely.	

6. SUMMARY OF PRIVACY IMPACT	
The privacy impact for this project has been assessed as:	
Low – There is little or no personal information involved; or the use of personal information is uncontroversial; or the risk of harm eventuating is negligible; or the change is minor and something that the individuals concerned would expect; or risks are fully mitigated.	
Medium* – Some personal information is involved, and several low to medium risks have been identified	
High* – Sensitive personal information is involved, and several medium to high risks have been identified	
Reduced risk – The project will lessen existing privacy risks	
Inadequate information – More information and analysis is needed to fully assess the privacy impact of the project.	
Briefly summarise reasons for the rating given	
The consultation exercise and subsequent amendments to the regulations we have no bearing on our data subjects and how their personal data is processed/stored etc.	ʻill

 $^{^{\}ast}$ If you have assessed the privacy impact as medium or high, a DPIA must be carried out.

7. RECOMMENDATION					
A full data protection impact assessment is required					
A full data protection impact assessment is not required					
Reasons					
The adjustments to member contributions and associated amendments to the regulations will have no bearing on how members' personal data is processed/stored etc.					
8. SIGN OFF					
Project Manager					
Name:	Date:				
Finvola Harkin	11 November 2024				
Signed: Linvola Harkin					
Senior Responsible Owner/Information Asset Owner					
Name:	Date:				
Jonathan Butler	09/01/2025				
Signed: Butter					